Comment on the Roberts solution for the spherically-symmetric Einstein-scalar field equations

Lior M. Burko
Department of Physics, Technion—Israel institute of technology, 32000 Haifa, Israel
(August 26, 1996)

We critically examine the Roberts homothetic solution for the spherically symmetric Einstein-scalar field equations in double null coordinates, and show that the Roberts solution indeed solves the field equations only for one non-trivial case. We generalize this solution and discuss its relations with other known exact solutions.

arXiv:gr-qc/9608061v1 26 Aug 1996

Recently, there has been growing interest in exact solutions to the spherically symmetric Einstein-scalar field equations. This interest is motivated by Choptuik's discovery of critical phenomena in gravitational collapse [1]—and by efforts to understand this critical behaviour both analytically [2–4] and numerically [5]—and by attempts to find counterexamples to the Cosmic Censorship Hypothesis [6].

The homothetic exact solution by Roberts [6] has captured lately much interest [2,4,7]. Of the various solutions which Roberts discusses in Ref. [6], we shall focus in what follows on the homothetic solution in double null coordinates. (See also the solution given by Sussman [8].) [These coordinates deserve special attention, as it turns out that double null coordinates are especially convenient for the study of critical phenomena, as one can derive numerically stable codes for near-critical evolutions using double null coordinates. (See discussion in Ref. [5]).]

Following Roberts [6] we write the line element for the spherically-symmetric spacetime as

$$ds^2 = -\,du\,dv + r^2(u,v)\,d\Omega^2, \tag{1}$$

where $d\Omega^2$ is the regular line element of the unit sphere. The Einstein-scalar field equations reduce then to the dynamical equations

$$\Phi_{,uv} + \left(r_{,u}\Phi_{,v} + r_{,v}\Phi_{,u}\right)/r = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$r_{,uv} + \left(r_{,u}r_{,v} + \frac{1}{4}\right)/r = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$\left(2r_{,u}r_{,v} + \frac{1}{2}\right)/r^2 - 2\Phi_{,u}\Phi_{,v} = 0 \tag{4}$$

and to the constraint equations

$$r_{,vv} + r\left(\Phi_{,v}\right)^2 = 0 \tag{5}$$

$$r_{,uu} + r\left(\Phi_{,u}\right)^2 = 0, \tag{6}$$

where Φ is a real scalar field.

The solution by Roberts (Eqs. (42) of Ref. [6]) reads

$$\begin{aligned} r^2 &= \frac{1}{4}(1+2\sigma)[v-(1+2\sigma)u][(1-2\sigma)v-(1+2\sigma)^2u] \\ \Phi &= \frac{1}{2}\ln\left|1-\frac{4\sigma}{(1+2\sigma)\left[1-\frac{u(1+2\sigma)}{v}\right]}\right|. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Allowing σ to be an arbitrary function of v, one finds that with Eqs. (7), the integrability conditions for Eqs. (2)–(5) are, corrspondingly,

$$\sigma\sigma' v = 0 \tag{8}$$

$$\sigma'\left(2A - 2\sigma v - v\right) - \sigma(1+\sigma) = 0 \tag{9}$$

$$\sigma' A - \sigma(1+\sigma) = 0 \tag{10}$$

$$\sigma'' \left(Au - 2\sigma uv - uv - \sigma v^2\right) + 2\sigma'^2 u \left(4\sigma^2 u + 4\sigma + u - v\right) - 2\sigma'\sigma v = 0, \tag{11}$$

where $A = 8\sigma^3 u + 12\sigma^2 u + 6\sigma u + u$. Here, a prime denotes partial differentiation with respect to v. [Eq. (6) is satisfied for any $\sigma(v)$.]

In order that (7) should be a solution of the Einstein-scalar field equations, the entire set of equations (8)–(11) should be satisfied. It can be readily verified, that the only solutions of Eqs. (8)–(11) are $\sigma = 0$ or $\sigma = -1$. The former is nothing but the trivial vacuum solution (i.e., Minkowski spacetime), while the latter indeed represents a solution, which is, however, just a particular case of the solution given by Refs. [2,3,8]. (This case is equivalent to the solution of Ref. [3] when the parameter p of Ref. [3] is set equal to -2.) Any other $\sigma(v)$, and in particular any other constant σ, does not solve the Einstein-scalar field equations.

Consequently, the solution by Roberts [6] may represent just a class of measure zero among the solutions to the spherically-symmetric homothetic Einstein-scalar field equations given by Refs. [2,3,8]. However, we can understand the relation between the solution of Ref. [6] and the solutions of Refs. [2,3,8] by transforming the solution given by Eq. (28) of Ref. [6] to double null coordinates. Defining

$$u = (1+2\sigma)v - 2r \tag{12}$$

(note the difference between this definition and Eq. (38) of Ref. [6]), one readily finds that the correct expression for the solution in double null coordinates is given by

$$r^2 = \frac{1}{4}\left[\left(1-4\sigma^2\right)v^2 - 2uv + u^2\right] \tag{13}$$

$$\Phi = \pm\frac{1}{2}\ln\left|1 - \frac{4\sigma}{1+2\sigma-u/v}\right|. \tag{14}$$

One immediately notices that this is nothing but the solution given by Oshiro *et al* [3], with the parameter p of Ref. [3] satisfying $p = 2\sigma$. Now, we can understand why the generally incorrect solution by Roberts gives a correct result for $\sigma = 0, -1$: For these particular cases, our coordinate transformation (12) coincides with the transformation given by Eq. (38) of Ref. [6]. However, the latter does not preserve the form of the metric (1), while transformation (12) does. (In fact, the coordinate transformation of Ref. [6] yields $g_{uv} = -\frac{1}{2}(1+2\sigma)^2$, instead of the $-\frac{1}{2}$ value implied by Eq. (1). One can see again that for $\sigma = 0, -1$ the Roberts solution coincides with the solution of Refs. [2,3,8].)

Now, we are in a position to try to generalize the solution: Recall, that in Ref. [3] the parameter p is assumed to be constant. Allowing σ to be an arbitrary function of v, we readily find that the integrability condition of Eqs. (2)–(5) is

$$\left(\sigma'' v + 2\sigma'\right)\sigma v = 0. \tag{15}$$

[This integrability condition arrises from the constraint equation (5). The other Einstein-scalar field equations are satisfied identically for any $\sigma(v)$.] Eq. (15) is integrated to $\sigma(v) = a + b/v$, with a and b being constants of integration. Apparently, we now have a generalized solution which depends on two parameters, namely, on a and b. However, transforming to the new coordinates $\bar{v} = v + b/a$ and $\bar{u} = u + b/a$ we find that the solution is again given by Eqs. (13) and (14), this time with the newly-defined coordinates $\bar{u}, \bar{v}$ and with the *constant* parameter a. [This coordinate transformation does not change the metric form (1).] Namely, we have shown that this solution is the most general within this class of solutions. In particular, the assumption of Ref. [3] that the solution is given by a constant σ is unnecessary, as we have shown that the constancy of σ can always be achieved for an appropriate choice of the coordinates. [Consequently, the most general solution depends on just one free parameter (see Ref. [7], where the solution is given in terms of two parameters).] However, it might be usefull to express the solution in coordinates other than $\bar{u}, \bar{v}$, e.g., in the testing of numerical codes.

I thank Patrick Brady, Amos Ori and Mark Roberts for useful discussions.

---

[1] Choptuik M.W. (1993) *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70** 9.

[2] Brady P.R. (1994) *Class. Quantum Grav.* **11** 1255.
[3] Oshiro Y., Nakamura K., and Tominatsu A. (1994) *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **91** 1265.
[4] Husain V., Martinez E.A., and Núñez D. (1994), *Phys. Rev. D* **50** 3783.
[5] Hamadé R.S. and Stewart J.M. (1996) *Class. Quantum Grav.* **13** 497.
[6] Roberts M.D. (1989) *Gen. Relativ. Gravitation* **21** 907.
[7] de Oliveira H.P. and Cheb–Terrab E.S. (1996) *Class. Quantum Grav.* **13** 425.
[8] Sussman R.A. (1991) *J. Math. Phys.* **32** 223.